UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,330	06/06/2007	Manfred Hartmann	741438-69	3344
<sup>78198</sup> Studebaker & B	7590 09/24/200 Brackett PC	EXAMINER		
1890 Preston W Suite 105	hite Drive	ROY, SIKHA		
Reston, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/594,330	HARTMANN, MANFRED				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sikha Roy	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	eptember 2006.					
· <u> </u>						
<i>i</i>	<del>/</del>					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	alastian raquirament					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/07.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b) the specification should include following sections, each one with proper section headings such as 'Title of the Invention', 'Background of the Invention', 'Summary of the Invention', 'Brief Description of Drawing', 'Detailed Description of the Invention', 'Claims' and 'Abstract'.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

#### Claim Objections

Claim2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2 'the electrode layer' should be -- transparent electrode layer--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the single supporting layer" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 2,922,076 to Sack et al. and further in view of USPN 3,890,039 to Cantarano.

Regarding claim 1 Sack discloses (Figs. 1, 3 col. 2 lines 26-72, col. 3 lines 40-75) an electroluminescent display having an at least partially transparent carrier (support member) 20, a transparent electrode layer 22 situated on the carrier 20, a luminescent layer 24 containing electroluminophores which represents image area, a rear electrode 26 in a region of majority of the image area, an insulating layer 28 which has recess 30 in the region of the rear electrode 26, contact layer 30 situated on at least a part of the area of the insulating layer 28 for contacting the rear electrode.

Sack discloses the contact made of electrically conductive varnish but does not expressly disclose the contact layer to be transparent.

Cantarano in pertinent art discloses (col. 4 lines 22-27) the use of high conductive transparent varnish (NESA). It has been held to be within the general skill of

Art Unit: 2879

a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to have transparent conductive varnish as contact layer of Sack, since the selection of known materials for a known purpose is within the skill of the art.

Regarding claim 2 Sack discloses the transparent electrode made of transparent conductive material. Catarano discloses the use of conductive transparent varnish (NESA) for transparent electrode. It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to have transparent electrode made of transparent conductive varnish, since the selection of known materials for a known purpose is within the skill of the art.

Regarding claim 3 Sack and Catarano disclose the contact layer is made of transparent conductive varnish.

Regarding claim 4 Sack discloses the insulating layer 28 is made of transparent glass.

Regarding claim 5 Sack discloses the display having a rear insulating layer 32.

Regarding claim 6 Sack discloses (col. 3 line 71 through col. 4 line 2) the insulating layer 32 is made of barium titanate which is known to be transparent dielectric material (as evidenced by USPN 5,069,815 to Aoki et al. col. 4 lines50-55).

Regarding claims 7 and 8 Sack discloses the carrier consists of glass.

Regarding claim 9 Sack discloses the contact layer is contacted using its own busbar 36.

Regarding claim 10 Sack discloses (col. 4 lines 30-40) the busbar is made of silver.

Regarding claims 11, 12 and 14 Sack discloses (col. 2 lines 69-71, col. 3 lines 33-46) the image area is divided into multiple non-coherent partial image areas according to different back electrodes, bus bars (an electroluminescent layer with elemental back electrode forming a single light producing element) where each area can be activated individually or in groups (plurality of light producing elements).

Regarding claim 13 Sack discloses the contact layer 30 contacts the rear electrode layer directly in the region of the recess.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPN 5,410,217 to LaPointe, USPN 6,965,196 to Murasco et al. and USPN 7,088,039 to Barnardo et al. disclose electroluminescent displays with multiple illuminated display areas.

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikha Roy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,330 Page 6

Art Unit: 2879

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sikha Roy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2879